

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,460	01/18/2002		Norbert Moszner	20959/1661 (P 58792)	3711
. 7	590	10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Joseph M. No	oto		ZIMMER, MARC S		
NIXON PEAB Clinton Square		P	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 3105			1712		
Rochester, NY	14603		DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Sur	10/053,460	MOSZNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	nuary 2002.		
_	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 6</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	- · ·	• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Denotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because the placement of "sulfonate (-SO₃-) or phosphonate (PO₃-), particularly preferably carboxylate (COO-)" makes it appear as though these are supposed to be incarnations of R^K, which they clearly are not.

Claim 6 is objected to because the word "equalized" should be "neutralized". To say that charges of one type are equalized has no meaning in a chemical context.

Claims Analysis

Applicant is advised that the word "dental" is, in the Examiner's estimation, merely a recitation of intended use equivalent to, for example, "a material for preparing dental formulations". Section 2112.02 of the MPEP provides direction as to how phrases such as this are to be treated: "If the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction. Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 182 F.3d 1298, 1305, 51 USPQ2d 1161, 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1999). See also Rowe v. Dror, 112 F.3d 473, 478, 42 USPQ2d 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("where a patentee defines a structurally complete invention in the claim body and uses the preamble only to state a purpose or intended use for the invention, the preamble is not a claim limitation"); Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d at 152, 88 USPQ2d at 480-81 (preamble is not a limitation where claim is directed to a product and the preamble merely recites a property inherent in an old product defined by the remainder of the claim).

Art Unit: 1712

Because the emphasized phrase does not serve to provide a definition of one of the claim limitations set forth in the claim, or breath life into the claim as it were, it will not be assessed patentable weight for the purpose of comparing the instant invention against the related prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nass et al., U.S. patent # 5,064,877. Nass discloses a method of chemically incorporating an inorganic cluster into a larger polymer matrix by first preparing a cluster compound bearing polymerizable moieties. The cluster is prepared by reacting an inorganic compound MR_n with an organic compound A featuring a complexing moiety X and a polymerizable group Y (column 1, lines 66-68 through column 2, lines 1-19). Exemplary of the compound MR_n and A are the compounds outlined in column 3, lines 52-60 and column 4, lines 55-59 respectively. In a preferred embodiment of the invention (column 5, lines 42-68 through column 7, lines 1-45), the compound MR_n is polycondensed in the presence of A and water to yield cluster compounds that inherently satisfy formula (I). The Examiner's assertion of inherency is based on the fact that the synthetic method disclosed by Nass for cluster formation mirrors that set forth on page 7 of

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant's Specification. Thereafter, the polymerizable groups Y contributed by A are polymerized optionally with other monomer materials capable of being polymerized with the clusters using a free radical initiator (column 8, lines 22-27).

Concerning claims 5 and 6, these limitations are also inherently satisfied by the reference in view of the similar starting materials and synthetic methodology employed.

Claim 11 is satisfied by Examples 5 and 6 where the quantity of filler corresponds to 0 wt.%.

Claims 1, 4, and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Furman et al., WO 00/69392. Furman discloses the preparation of metal oxide nanoparticles containing any of the metals disclosed on page 3, lines 1-10 with ziconium oxide nanoparticles being preferred (line 11). Upon obtaining a cluster of the desired size, it is organofunctionalized using one of the functionalizing agents outlined on pages 7-11. Notably, the aluminozirconate on page 8 represents a chelating complexing agent corresponding to L-Sp-Z where

corresponds to L and RCO₂ denotes the polymerizable moiety, and L is absent. Variable R, according to the reference is a copolymerizable alkenyl group. Reaction of this compound with the zirconium oxide prepared in Examples 1 and 2 provide a product that inherently adheres to formula I. (In this instance, the chelating agent is not present at the time the cluster is assembled like it is in Applicant's method. However,

Art Unit: 1712

while this may impact the size of the cluster obtained, it will, nonetheless, be aptly described by formula I.) Upon preparing an organofunctionalized cluster, the polymerizable groups introduced by the organofunctionalization step are subjected to polymerization with appropriate organic co-monomers selected from those outlined on page 15 in the presence of a photoinitiator.

As for claim 6, given that similar starting materials are employed, this limitation is inherently satisfied despite the aforementioned differences in the synthetic method.

Claim 11 is anticipated by the experiment outlined in Example 3.

Other documents furnished by Applicant also anticipate the claimed invention, the omission of a utility in dental formulations notwithstanding. They will not be applied herein as all of the claims are anticipated by the art already cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1712

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 28, 2004

Mare Zimmer AV 1711